

2016 Physician Advisor Survey



Sponsored by
 **NUANCE**

Are you engaging physicians to deliver measurable quality outcomes?

In a world where clinical quality outcomes drive patient care and business practices across the care continuum, complete and accurate clinical documentation is more important than ever before.

Demonstrating positive clinical outcomes requires accurate documentation of the true disease burden of your patients and the expert care your team delivers. And it begins at the point of patient care.

Are your physicians aligned with your documentation strategy to deliver measurable quality outcomes?

Nuance clients consistently outperform their peers on overall quality indicators, all while achieving 4–8% Case Mix Index correction. Nuance has helped over 500 healthcare systems successfully establish clinical documentation improvement practices across the entire continuum of care to accurately measure patient care, impact quality and receive appropriate reimbursement. With more than 20 years of clinical documentation expertise, we can help you mitigate risk while maximizing your potential.

Let us show you how.

**Learn how Nuance Clintegrity™ CDI Solutions can help you,
go to nuance.com/go/CDI or call 1-877-805-5902.**



JATA

Compliant Documentation
Management Program®

Physician advisor surveys illustrate opportunities for improvement

When it comes to the role of the physician advisor in CDI, there's room for improvement, according to the 2016 ACDIS Physician Advisory Survey and related poll data.

This spring, ACDIS released three separate surveys (see Figure 1) including:

- **2016 ACDIS Physician Advisor Survey** which garnered 341 responses. There were 56% who identified as CDI specialists; 24% as CDI managers; 12% as CDI leads; and 5% as physician advisors. The remaining respondents identified as CDI educator, auditor, or preceptor.
- **2016 ACDIS Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians** was targeted to physician advisors, CDI managers, or members of ACDIS with physician credentials. This survey garnered 62 responses, 34% of whom identified as CDI specialists; 30% as the CDI manager/director; and 26% as physician advisor/champion. The remaining respondents identified as the manager/director of other departments such as HIM, case management, utilization review, or quality.
- **An ACDIS website poll** which asked "Is your physician advisor effective?" and garnered 407 responses, only 24% of whom indicated their physician advisor was, indeed, effective.

Physician advisor demographics

Despite the difference in the survey's target audience "there's a lot of interesting overlap in the results," says **Tony Oliva, MD**, chief medical officer for Nuance Communications, Inc., healthcare division based in Burlington, Massachusetts.

Most facilities seem to have some physician advisor program in place, as less than 40% in all three surveys indicated they did not have such a position. (See Figure 2.)

The physician advisor, typically a MD or DO, works as a primary physician or hospitalist, and spends less than 10 hours per week on CDI activities. (See figures 3, 4, and 5.)

"It doesn't surprise me that these guys are part-time," says **Louis Grujanac, DO, AHIMA ICD-10-CM/PCS Trainer**, an independent consultant based in the Chicago area.

Grujanac also wasn't surprised by the percentage of facility hospitalists serving in physician advisor roles although he applauded programs savvy enough to pull in physician advisors from the surgical side of the house. Obtaining such support can often yield large returns, says Grujanac who has been involved in CDI consulting since 1993.

"If you can pull a surgeon in and get that buy-in, you'll be able to win over the hearts and minds of the rest of the surgical staff. These cases often contribute to a facility's case mix index and prove to be incredibly valuable," Grujanac says.

If there's anything this survey data shows, it's that physician advisors need to be trained and that many may need to be retrained.

Louis Grujanac

Most CDI programs have had a physician advisor involved for one to four years, typical according to Grujanac, "physicians want to go on to do other things," he says.

Interestingly, a number also indicated they'd had a physician advisor involved for eight years or more. (See Figure 6.)

"I wonder about the consistency of these programs," says Oliva, who suggests that perhaps programs had a

physician advisor involved but that it may not be same physician advisor over the entire eight years.

Another possibility is that participants miss-read the question and entered the age of their program rather than the years of their physician advisors' involvement.

If the results are taken at face value, the news is “pretty surprising” and “pretty good,” that some CDI programs have held onto their physician advisor for so long, Grujanac says.

Juggling hats

Physician advisors serve a variety of purposes beyond documentation improvement including assisting case management, utilization review, quality, and coding departments among other assignments, the survey shows. (See Figure 7.)

How can you accomplish everything and help your CDI program move forward if you have all these competing obligations?

Erica E. Remer

The data supports what Oliva's seen across the country, with the CDI physician advisor typically supporting utilization and case management teams, although both Oliva and Grujanac thought the percent of respondents supporting HIM/coding seemed high and questioned the effectiveness of advisory duties in that regard.

“The problem you run into is that the physician advisor role gets co-opted,” Oliva says.

Open-ended responses show physician advisors serving in other ways such as assisting with audit defense, medical governance, EHR implementation and maintenance, compliance, and accountable care organization/hierarchical condition category subcommittees, as well.

As the physician advisor for a 15-hospital system, **Erica E. Remer, MD, FACEP, CCDS**, clinical documentation integrity officer of University Hospitals in Cleveland, knows how difficult it can be to manage competing obligations.

“I work full-time in my system and still it can feel overwhelming,” she says. “How can you accomplish everything and help your CDI program move forward if you have all these competing obligations?”

CDI areas of focus

The physician advisor's CDI-related tasks vary widely from helping with outstanding queries to conducting medical necessity review, to providing CDI and physician education, among other items. (See Figure 8.)

Most respondents indicated they had a query escalation policy—a policy regarding how to handle query discrepancies and/or when to inform a program manager or other individual due to lack of response—in place. The 2013 *Guidelines for Achieving a Compliant Query Practice* joint ACDIS/AHIMA practice brief sets forth [example escalation policies](#) and recommends facilities create a process that works best for them. (See Figure 9.)

Physician advisors can help with such processes in a variety of ways. Survey responses reflected their efforts, with the majority indicating that the physician advisor handles the escalation process and discusses the matter with the errant physician. The survey also shows a significant percent of physician advisors helping CDI and coding staff identify whether escalation is actually warranted and bringing matters to the attention of appropriate medical staff as needed. (See Figure 10.)

On many of the obligations included in Figure 8, Grujanac questions the depth and detail of physician advisor involvement in some aspects of the response options—what does it mean to ask a physician advisor to help “close” a query, he wondered, and should physician advisors really be involved in retrospective CDI support or should that be outsourced using assistance from consultants, for example?

“The vast majority of the job is physician education, not asking queries,” says Remer, who thinks that 100% of respondents should have included physician education as one of their principal responsibilities where only 55% of respondents to the larger survey and 80% of respondents to the physician-directed survey did so.

“As the physician advisor, you should be teaching physicians how document correctly the first time, not punishing them for failing to get the documentation correct,” says Remer.

With physician advisors only working five to 10 hours per week, Grujanac wondered how often these tasks actually get addressed.

“Yes,” he says, “physician education is one of the fundamental responsibilities of the physician advisor but how often are they actually doing this—monthly, quarterly?”

Without a consistently defined set of expectations, physician advisors essentially catch-as-catch can, responding to CDI program needs within the limited time allotted, Oliva says.

Education and training efforts

Like many new to the world of CDI, Remer didn’t know much about healthcare reimbursement when she first started. She attended the ACDIS Physician Advisor Boot Camp, and availed herself of as much information as she could from her CDI team and ACDIS resources.

Those working part-time in the physician advisor role who scrape together hours to help the CDI team likely have limited time for self-education or the in-depth learning required to be effective in the role, says Remer.

“As a physician advisor, I don’t need to be a coder or a CDI specialist but I need to be able to speak intelligently to the coders, the CDI specialists, and the physicians,” she says.

Essentially, we’re saying that only half of all CDI physician advisors working today are effective... That represents a huge opportunity for improvement.

Tony Oliva

Respondents to the physician-targeted survey overwhelmingly indicated they received some CDI training—although that 67% still falls far from a perfect 100%, says Oliva, who recommends some CDI-specific training even if it’s modular in nature. (See Figure 11.)

“If there’s anything this survey data shows, it’s that physician advisors need to be trained and that many may need to be retrained,” says Grujanac.

Approval rating

Survey data seems to echo Grujanac’s concerns. In the larger survey, sent to all ACDIS members, respondents essentially split 50/50 in their approval rate of physician advisors. Slightly more than 50% called their physician advisor either “very beneficial” or “indispensable.” The remaining respondents called them only “moderately effective” or “ineffective.” Perhaps not surprisingly, the survey to physician advisors themselves showed an even higher approval rate with 68% dubbing the role as “very beneficial” or “indispensable,” and 32% as “moderately effective” or “ineffective.” (See Figure 2.)

“Essentially, we’re saying that only half of all CDI physician advisors working today are effective?” asks Oliva. “That represents a huge opportunity for improvement.”

When asked how programs measured effectiveness, responses ran the gamut with most indicating they had no formal assessment process in place, and many saying “unknown” or “none” or provided anecdotes based on how much perceived support the physician advisor provides to the CDI staff.

“The physician advisor should be an integral part of the CDI team,” says Grujanac. “It would be nice if you could count on them.”

Identifying opportunities

CDI programs should take a second look at how they use their physician advisor, Grujanac says. Step one is to develop a comprehensive job description.

At a minimum, “responsibilities should include providing education to the physicians and making themselves available to answer clinical questions from CDI and coding staff,” says Oliva.

And while CDI programs need to be flexible keeping in mind the limited availability, parameters should be set regarding how much of that five to 10 hours-per-week should be spent on which tasks, Grujanac says.

“Sure there’s five minutes here and five minutes there and before you know it that physician advisor’s time is

spent up and the CDI program has no additional room for larger education efforts, assessments, or growth analysis,” he says.

Tracking the physician advisors time needs to fall to the CDI program administrator or manager to weigh his or her efforts against the larger needs of the program and to ensure that individual physicians or CDI specialists do not end up taking up the bulk of the physician advisor’s time on minutiae rather than bigger picture educational or program improvement activities.

“CDI program managers need to find ways to be more objective in expectations,” too, Oliva says, so that assessments of their effectiveness can be measured just as one would expect to measure an individual CDI specialist’s effectiveness.

Step two, says Grujanac, is training. He used to provide two, four-hour days of concentrated CDI education during previous consulting engagements, he says.

“Sure, it’s difficult to get them out of their daily activities but that training is crucial. You need to find something that works for everyone,” Grujanac says.

Then, add layers. Start off with classroom training or the ACDIS conference or Physician Advisor Boot Camp and then provide time for job shadowing and ongoing education. Make sure he or she understands how the CDI specialists interact with the physicians and how the overall program works.

Of course, hiring the right fit matters, too, Grujanac says, recommending that the physician advisor needs to have broad knowledge of various specialties and needs to be well thought of in the physician community.

Finally, assess their involvement and hold the physician advisor accountable. Both survey’s respondents indicated their physician advisors receive salary compensation, although the sources who reviewed this survey lent little credence to these responses since most also reported their physician advisor only worked five to 10 hours per week. (See Figure 12.)

“What kind of carrot or stick can you use to get the physician advisor on board,” Grujanac wondered.

Regardless of how (or how much) the physician advisor gets in compensation, tie a portion of that salary to

certain productivity expectations, expectations which were clearly spelled out in the job description, taught during the training, and supported through administration’s management and assessment of the team’s activities, he says.


“Clearly, there is just so much opportunity here to develop additional training, provide support for the physician advisor, and to better leverage their involvement with the CDI team,” says Grujanac. 

FIGURE 1: Survey demographics

| | No. of responses | CDI specialists | CDI (or dept.) managers | Physician Advisors |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 341 | 56% | 12% | 5% |
| 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians | 62 | 34% | 38% | 26% |

FIGURE 2: Physician advisor approval rates

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians | ACDIS Poll |
|--|-------------------------------|--|------------|
| Indispensable | 26% | 42% | 24% |
| Very beneficial | 26% | 26% | NA |
| Moderately effective | 28% | 14% | 20% |
| Ineffective | 20% | 18% | 17% |
| We don't have a physician advisor | 33% | 18% | 37% |

FIGURE 3: Which credential type does your PA hold?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--|
| MD/DO | 92% | 93% |
| NP/PA | 2% | 0% |
| FMG | 6% | 7% |

FIGURE 4: What is your physician advisors' area of clinical concentration?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Medicine primary | 35% | 33% |
| Medicine hospitalist | 28% | 46% |
| Emergency | 4% | 0% |
| Pediatrics | 2% | 2% |
| Medicine all other specialties | 15% | 13% |
| Surgery general | 9% | 4% |
| Surgery all other specialties | 7% | 2% |

FIGURE 5: How much time per week does the physician advisor spend on CDI activities?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| One to five hours | 58% | 55% |
| Six to 10 hours | 15% | 16% |
| 11 to 20 hours | 11% | 15% |
| More than 20 hours | 16% | 13% |

FIGURE 6: How long as your CDI program had a physician advisor involved/employed?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Less than 1 year | 15% | 14% |
| 1-2 years | 29% | 11% |
| 3-4 years | 28% | 34% |
| 5-6 years | 14% | 14% |
| 7-8 years | 5% | 5% |
| More than 8 years | 9% | 22% |

FIGURE 7: What other departments does the physician advisor serve?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Case management | 44% | 50% |
| Utilization review | 43% | 42% |
| Quality | 21% | 30% |
| HIM/coding | 19% | 44% |
| Don't know | 25% | 18% |
| Other | 14% | 14% |

FIGURE 8: Which of the following are included in your physician advisor’s responsibilities?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Helping to “close” outstanding physician queries | 58% | 60% |
| Helping to draft compliant/effective queries | 20% | 42% |
| Querying physicians on a concurrent or retrospective basis | 14% | 26% |
| Offering coding/query suggestions to CDI/coding staff | 33% | 49% |
| Providing pre-/post bill clinical documentation support | 24% | 42% |
| Assisting with auditor appeals/drafting appeals letters | 37% | 54% |
| Reviewing charts for medical necessity of inpatient admissions | 30% | 40% |
| Providing documentation/clinical education to CDI and coding staff | 30% | 47% |
| Assisting CDI staff with presenting documentation improvement education to physicians | 55% | 80% |
| Disciplining non-compliant physicians | 34% | 19% |
| Other | 14% | 10% |

FIGURE 9: Does your CDI program have an escalation process in place?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Yes | 61% | 60% |
| No | 30% | 32% |
| Don't know | 9% | 8% |

FIGURE 10: What is the role of the physician advisor in the CDI escalation process?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Helps the CDI staff determine whether escalation is warranted | 28% | 38% |
| Handles the escalation and communicates with the errant physician | 69% | 73% |
| Works with the CDI/HIM director on resolutions | 33% | 49% |
| Communicates escalation matters to the appropriate medical staff leadership | 43% | 38% |

FIGURE 11: Did your physician advisor receive specific training?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Yes, for CDI | 44% | 67% |
| Not for CDI | 28% | 26% |
| Yes, for coding | 23% | 28% |
| Not for coding | 47% | 54% |
| Don't know | 42% | 13% |
| Other | 16% | 11% |

FIGURE 12: How is the physician advisor compensated?

| | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey | 2016 Physician Advisor Survey for Physicians |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Part-time salaried | 12% | 14% |
| Full-time salaried | 21% | 25% |
| Hourly | 13% | 24% |
| Stipend | 4% | 5% |
| Per case reviewed | 0% | 2% |
| Don't know | 40% | 25% |
| Other | 10% | 5% |